

Silica-Sulfuric Acid: Novel, Simple, Efficient and Reusable Catalyst for Hydration of Nitrile to Amide

SANDEEP CHANDRASHEKHARAPPA¹, KATHARIGATTA N. VENUGOPALA^{2*}, RASHMI VENUGOPALA³ and BHARTI ODHAV²

¹Institute for Stem Cell Biology and Regenerative Medicine, NCBS, TIFR, GKVK, Bellary Road, Bangalore-560 065, India

²Department of Biotechnology and Food Technology, Durban University of Technology, Durban 4001, South Africa

³Department of Public Health Medicine, University of KwaZulu-Natal, Howard College Campus, Durban 4001, South Africa

*Corresponding author: E-mail: katharigattav@dut.ac.za; venugopalakn@gmail.com

Received: 11 February 2016;

Accepted: 25 May 2016;

Published online: 30 June 2016;

AJC-17963

Silica-sulfuric acid efficiently catalyzes conversion of aliphatic, substituted aromatic and hetero aromatic nitriles to their corresponding amides in good to excellent yields under reflux condition. Products obtained were purified by column chromatography method and characterized by ¹H NMR, ¹³C NMR and mass spectral analysis.

Keywords: Silica-sulfuric acid, Nitriles, Amides, Hydration.

INTRODUCTION

The hydration of nitriles into the corresponding amides is very important in organic chemistry as well as in the chemical industry. There are a number of different methods for this conversion. Nitrile hydration is a classic transformation but one which is still difficult to achieve, even with the range of available reagents. Traditionally, various acids or bases were used as catalysts [1-9]. Transition metal catalyzed methods have been developed using Co [10,11], Mo [12], Ru [13-16], Rh [17,18], Pd [19,20], Ir [21] and Pt [22-24]. Homogeneous metal catalysis is frequently used in an industrial setting. Intimate catalyst substrate interaction, mild reaction conditions and an understanding of the catalytic process are recognized as main advantages. The catalytic hydration of nitriles (RCN) to carboxamides (RCONH₂) represents a fundamentally important pathway to these products in both laboratory and industrial contexts [25-34]. Since the discovery of alumina-supported ruthenium hydroxide catalysts [Ru(OH)_x/Al₂O₃] by Yamaguchi *et al.* [15] solid-supported ruthenium has become an important class of catalyst for nitrile hydration, demonstrating high selectivity for carboxamide formation as well as other practical advantages [35-50]. Although RuCl₃·nH₂O itself catalyzes nitrile hydration, the choice of solid support is critically important for achieving sufficient reactivity as well as for retaining Ru species on support [15,37]. Examples of supports successfully used for Ru species include inorganic Al₂O₃ [15], nanoferrite [35] and magnetic silica [36] as well as organic chitosan [51], amberlite [37] and Nafion [38]. However, these systems typically require the use of microwave irradiation [35-37,51] or high

reaction temperatures (175 °C) [38]. Moreover, the tolerance of base-sensitive functional groups such as carboxylic esters has not been documented in these reports [15,35-38,51]. Such chemo-selectivity is important in modern organic synthesis [52,53], but is generally considered elusive in nitrile hydration promoted by metal-loaded heterogeneous catalysts, a single exception (Au/TiO₂) [44] notwithstanding. A number of catalysts have been developed for the synthesis of amide from nitrile such as hydrogen peroxide/DMSO [9,54], hydrogen peroxide/PTC [55], Na/FAP [56] and synthetic fluorapatites (FAP) [57]. Amide can also be prepared by metal complex such as palladium [18], rhodium [58], sodium perborate [59], ruthenium complex [60], chloromethylsilane [6] and ZnCl₂ under microwave condition [61]. On the other hand, heterogeneous process enhances the selectivity in this reaction. Heterogeneous catalysts such as a KF/Al₂O₃ [62], resins [63], KF/natural phosphate [64] are used for conversion of nitrile to amide.

According to the reported literature, the conversion of nitriles to amides requires an expensive catalyst and long duration time. Moreover, some of the metal catalysts are not easily available and some of the metal catalysts are to be used with high precautions during the reaction conditions. Keeping these reasons in mind, we developed a simple method for conversion of nitrile to amides without affecting any substitutions within the molecule. However, the reported methods suffer from drawbacks such as being expensive, explosive, unavailable, low yield and polluting to environment to some extent. Furthermore, some of these methods yield acid as a side product. Therefore, a need still exists for versatile, simple and environmentally friendly process for conversion of nitrile

to amide. In this research we report silica-sulfuric acid as a simple, efficient and reusable catalyst for the transformation of amides from nitriles.

EXPERIMENTAL

¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR spectrum were recorded on 400 MHz Bruker spectrometer using CDCl₃ solvent. Molecular weight of the synthesized compounds were checked using LC-MS Agilent 1100 series with MSD Ion trap using 0.1 % aqueous trifluoroacetic acid in acetonitrile system on C18-BDS column for a 10 min duration and GC-MS. Commercially available chemicals were procured from Sigma-Aldrich and Alfa-Aesar and used without further purification.

General procedure for the preparation of amide from nitrile: In a round bottom flask, aliphatic/substituted aromatic/hetero aromatic nitrile (1 mmol), silica-sulfuric acid (1 mmol) and toluene (10 mL) were taken under nitrogen atmosphere and refluxed for 1-3 h. Completion of the reaction was monitored on thin layer chromatography, LC-MS and GC-MS. After reaction completion, the product obtained was filtered and washed with ethyl acetate. Crude product was purified by column chromatography using 60-120 mesh silica gel with *n*-hexane-ethyl acetate solvent and recrystallized with appropriate solvents. All the purified compounds were characterized by ¹H NMR, ¹³C NMR, LC-MS/GC-MS and elemental analysis.

Acrylamide (2a): ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 5.5 (d, 1H), 6 (m, 2H), 7.1 (s, 1H), 8.0 (s, 1H). ¹³C NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 126, 132, 167; GC-MS: *m/z* = 71 (M⁺); Anal. calculated for C₃H₅NO; C, 50.69; H, 7.09; N, 19.71; Found: C, 50.70; H, 6.98; N, 19.72.

2-Hydroxyacetamide (2b): ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 3.7 (d, 2H), 5.3 (t, 2H), 7.2 (s, 2H); ¹³C NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 34, 116, 194 GC-MS: *m/z* = 75 (M⁺); Anal. calculated for C₂H₅NO₂; C, 32.00; H, 6.71; N, 18.66; Found: C, 31.58; H, 6.68; N, 18.63.

Benzamide (2c): ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.4 (m, 2H), 7.5 (m, 1H), 7.8 (m, 2H), 9.49 (s, 1H), 9.89 (s, 1H); ¹³C NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 127, 128, 132, 133, 169; LC-MS: *m/z* = 121 (M⁺); Anal. calculated for C₇H₇NO; C, 69.41; H, 5.82; N, 11.56; Found: C, 69.38; H, 5.83; N, 11.55.

3-Amino-4-methoxybenzamide (2d): ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 3.7 (s, 3H), 4.7 (s, 2H), 6.78 (d, 1H), 7.0 (d, 1H), 7.1 (s, 1H), 7.16 (s, 1H), 7.6 (s, 1H); ¹³C NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 55, 109, 113, 116, 127, 137, 149, 168; LC-MS: *m/z* = 166 (M⁺); Anal. calculated for C₈H₁₀N₂O₂; C, 57.82; H, 6.07; N, 16.86; Found: C, 57.79; H, 6.04; N, 16.87.

2,6-Dichlorobenzamide (2e): ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.33 (d, 2H), 7.4 (t, 1H) ¹³C NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 127, 134, 135, 136, 169; LC-MS: *m/z* = 190 (M⁺); Anal. calculated for C₇H₅NOCl₂; C, 44.25; H, 2.65; N, 7.37; Found: C, 44.21; H, 2.64; N, 7.37.

3-Aminobenzamide (2f): ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 5.1 (s, 2H), 6.7 (s, 1H), 6.98 (dd, 1H), 7.0 (d, 2H), 7.1 (s, 1H), 7.70 (s, 1H); ¹³C NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 113, 115, 118, 129, 135, 149, LC-MS: *m/z* = 136 (M⁺); Anal. calculated for C₇H₈N₂O; C, 61.75; H, 5.92; N, 20.58; Found: C, 61.76; H, 5.91; N, 20.56.

4-Aminobenzamide (2g): ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 5.5 (s, 2H), 6.5 (dd, 2H), 6.8 (s, 1H), 7.50 (s, 1H), 7.6 (dd,

2H); ¹³C NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 115, 123, 128, 150, 169; LC-MS: *m/z* = 136 (M⁺); Anal. calculated for C₇H₈N₂O; C, 61.75; H, 5.92; N, 20.58; Found: C, 61.74; H, 5.90; N, 20.59.

2-Amino-5-chlorobenzamide (2h): ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 6.6 (s, 2H), 7.15 (d, 2H), 7.6 (s, 1H), 7.80 (s, 1H); ¹³C NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 114, 117, 118, 128, 132, 149, 170; LC-MS: *m/z* = 170 (M⁺); Anal. calculated for C₇H₇N₂OCl; C, 49.28; H, 4.14; N, 16.42; Found: C, 49.29; H, 4.15; N, 16.41.


tert-Butyl 2-(4-carbamoylphenyl)acetate (2i): ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 1.35 (s, 9H), 3.59 (s, 2H), 7.25 (d, 2H), 7.8 (d, 2H), 9.4 (s, 1H), 9.8 (s, 1H); ¹³C NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 9, 12, 29, 73, 127, 130, 138, 173; LC-MS: *m/z* = 235 (M⁺); Anal. calculated for C₁₃H₁₇NO₃; C, 66.36; H, 7.28; N, 5.95; Found: C, 66.33; H, 7.27; N, 5.98.

Methyl 2-(4-carbamoylphenyl)acetate (2j): ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 3.51 (s, 2H), 3.7 (s, 3H), 6 (2H, br), 7.24 (d, 2H), 7.83 (d, 2H); ¹³C NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 38, 48, 51, 127, 130, 134, 142, 173; LC-MS: *m/z* = 193 (M⁺); Anal. calculated for C₁₀H₁₁NO₃; C, 62.17; H, 5.74; N, 7.25; Found: C, 62.23; H, 5.71; N, 7.28.

2-Aminopyridine 6-carboxamide (2k): ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 4 (2H br), 6.3 (2H), 7.1 (d, 1H), 7.7 (d, 1H), 7.9 (s, 1H); ¹³C NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 112, 140, 149, 159, 169; LC-MS: *m/z* = 137 (M⁺); Anal. calculated for C₆H₇N₃O; C, 52.55; H, 5.14; N, 30.64; Found: C, 52.51; H, 5.19; N, 30.62.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In continuation of our effort for the development of synthetic methods in organic synthesis [65,66] and pharmacologically active heterocyclic compounds [67-69], herewith we have focused our attention on the preparation of amides from nitriles catalyzed by silica-sulfuric acid (**Scheme-I**) in good to excellent yields as shown in Table-1.














R = aliphatic, substituted aromatic and hetero aromatic

Scheme-I: Hydration of nitrile group to amide group

To demonstrate the protocol, we selected benzonitrile and substituted benzonitrile as model substrates, which smoothly converted to benzamide in excellent yield (Table-1, entry-3) and the same reaction was extended to substituted benzonitrile also (entry 4-8 and 10). Interestingly, we observed that *tert*-butyl group was unaffected under this reaction condition (entry-9). Heterocyclic nitrile such as a pyridine moiety smoothly converted to amide in excellent yield (entry-11). We also observed that an aliphatic nitrile such as acrylonitrile yields acrylamide (entry 1) in excellent yield without affecting the double bond which was not possible in DMSO condition. This was an added advantage of this method and that 2-hydroxy acetonitrile also underwent this conversion in excellent yield (entry 2). Silica-sulfuric acid catalyst can also be used up to three times without losing its catalytic activity.

TABLE-I
PHYSICAL CONSTANTS OF CONVERSION
OF NITRILE TO AMIDE (1-11)

Entry	Nitrile	Product	Reaction time (h) ^a	Yield (%) ^b
1		2a	2	88
2		2b	2.5	79
3		2c	3	90
4		2d	3	83
5		2e	2	88
6		2f	3	90
7		2g	3	90
8		2h	2.5	97
9		2i	3	87
10		2j	2	76
11		2k	3	90

^aReactions were monitored through LC-MS, GC-MS and TLC analysis
^bYields refers to the isolated pure products after flash chromatography
All the purified compounds were characterized by ¹H NMR, ¹³C NMR, LC-MS/GC-MS and spectroscopic methods.

Conclusion

Silica-sulfuric acid efficiently catalyzes conversion of aliphatic, substituted aromatic and hetero aromatic nitriles to their corresponding amides in good to excellent yields under reflux condition without affecting any other substituent in the molecule. It is also made observation on new method of synthesis. The reactions performed are ecofriendly and the used catalysts are easily available with low cost.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors are thankful to Institute for Stem Cell Biology and Regenerative Medicine, National Research Foundation (96807), South Africa and Durban University of Technology for support and facilities.

REFERENCES

- R.C. Larock, *Comprehensive Organic Transformations*, Wiley-VCH: New York, edn 2, p. 1988 (1999).
- A.L.J. Beckwith, in ed.: J. Zabicky, *The Chemistry of Amides Synthesis of Amides*, Interscience: New York, p. 73 (1970).
- H.R. Snyder and C.T. Elston, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **76**, 3039 (1954).
- C.R. Hauser and C.J. Eby, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **79**, 725 (1957).
- C. Pala Wilgus, S. Downing, E. Molitor, S. Bains, R.M. Pagni and G.W. Kabalka, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, **36**, 3469 (1995).
- M.K. Basu and F.-T. Luo, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, **39**, 3005 (1998).
- L. McMaster and F.B. Langreck, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **39**, 103 (1917).
- N. Kornblum and S. Singaram, *J. Org. Chem.*, **44**, 4727 (1979).
- A.R. Katritzky, B. Pilarski and L. Urogi, *Synthesis*, 949 (1989).
- J.H. Kim, J. Britten and J. Chin, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **115**, 3618 (1993).
- J. Chin and J.H. Kim, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.*, **29**, 523 (1990).
- K.L. Breno, M.D. Pluth and D.R. Tyler, *Organometallics*, **22**, 1203 (2003).
- S. Murahashi, S. Sasao, E. Saito and T. Naota, *J. Org. Chem.*, **57**, 2521 (1992).
- W.K. Fung, X. Huang, S.M. Man, S.M. Man, M.Y. Hung, Z. Lin and C.P. Lau, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **125**, 11539 (2003).
- K. Yamaguchi, M. Matsushita and N. Mizuno, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, **43**, 1576 (2004).
- C.W. Leung, W. Zheng, Z. Zhou, Z. Lin and C.P. Lau, *Organometallics*, **27**, 4957 (2008).
- A. Goto, K. Endo and S. Saito, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, **47**, 3607 (2008).
- M.C.K.-B. Djoman and A.N. Ajjou, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, **41**, 4845 (2000).
- G. Villain, P. Kalck and A. Gaset, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, **21**, 2901 (1980).
- S.I. Maffioli, E. Marzorati and A. Marazzi, *Org. Lett.*, **7**, 5237 (2005).
- H. Takaya, K. Yoshida, K. Isozaki, H. Terai and S.-I. Murahashi, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, **42**, 3302 (2003).
- T. Ghaffar and A.W. Parkins, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, **36**, 8657 (1995).
- X. Jiang, A.J. Minnaard, B.L. Feringa and J.G. de Vries, *J. Org. Chem.*, **69**, 2327 (2004).
- M. North, A.W. Parkins and A.N. Shariff, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, **45**, 7625 (2004).
- C.E. Mabermmann, in ed.: J.I. Kroschwitz, *Encyclopedia of Chemical Technology*, Wiley, New York, vol. 1, pp. 251-266 (1991).
- D. Lipp, in ed.: J.I. Kroschwitz, *Encyclopedia of Chemical Technology*, Wiley, New York, vol. 1 pp. 266-287 (1991).
- R. Opsahl, in ed.: J.I. Kroschwitz, *Encyclopedia of Chemical Technology*, Wiley, New York, vol. 2, pp. 346-356 (1991).
- C.L. Allen and J.M.J. Williams, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, **40**, 3405 (2011).
- C. Singh, V. Kumar, U. Sharma, N. Kumar and B. Singh, *Curr. Org. Synth.*, **10**, 241 (2013).
- V.Y. Kukushkin and A.J.L. Pombeiro, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, **358**, 1 (2005).
- T.J. Ahmed, S.M.M. Knapp and D.R. Tyler, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, **255**, 949 (2011).
- T. Tu, Z. Wang, Z. Liu, X. Feng and Q. Wang, *Green Chem.*, **14**, 921 (2012).
- R. Garcia-Alvarez, P. Crochet and V. Cadierno, *Green Chem.*, **15**, 46 (2013).
- E.L. Downs and D.R. Tyler, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, **280**, 28 (2014).
- V. Polshettiwar and R.S. Varma, *Chem. Eur. J.*, **15**, 1582 (2009).
- R.B.N. Baig and R.S. Varma, *Chem. Commun.*, **48**, 6220 (2012).

37. S. Kumar and P. Das, *New J. Chem.*, **37**, 2987 (2013).
38. G.K.S. Prakash, S.B. Munoz, A. Papp, K. Masood, I. Bychinskaya, T. Mathew and G.A. Olah, *Asian J. Org. Chem.*, **1**, 146 (2012).
39. A. Ishizuka, Y. Nakazaki and T. Oshiki, *Chem. Lett.*, **38**, 360 (2009).
40. T. Mitsudome, Y. Mikami, H. Mori, S. Arita, T. Mizugaki, K. Jitsukawa and K. Kaneda, *Chem. Commun.*, 3258 (2009).
41. S. Ichikawa, S. Miyazoe and O. Matsuoka, *Chem. Lett.*, **40**, 512 (2011).
42. M. Tamura, H. Wakasugi, K.-i. Shimizu and A. Satsuma, *Chem. Eur. J.*, **17**, 11428 (2011).
43. A.Y. Kim, H. Bae, S. Park, S. Park and K. Park, *Catal. Lett.*, **141**, 685 (2011).
44. Y.-M. Liu, L. He, M.-M. Wang, Y. Cao, H.-Y. He and K.-N. Fan, *ChemSusChem*, **5**, 1392 (2012).
45. Y. Gangarajula and B. Gopal, *Chem. Lett.*, **41**, 101 (2012).
46. K. Yamaguchi, Y. Wang, H. Kobayashi and N. Mizuno, *Chem. Lett.*, **41**, 574 (2012).
47. K.-i. Shimizu, T. Kubo, A. Satsuma, T. Kamachi and K. Yoshizawa, *ACS Catal.*, **2**, 2467 (2012).
48. T. Hirano, K. Uehara, K. Kamata and N. Mizuno, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **134**, 6425 (2012).
49. M.B. Gawande, P.S. Branco, I.D. Nogueira, C.A.A. Ghumman, N. Bundaleski, A. Santos, O.M.N.D. Teodoro and R. Luque, *Green Chem.*, **15**, 682 (2013).
50. C. Battilocchio, J.M. Hawkins and S.V. Ley, *Org. Lett.*, **16**, 1060 (2014).
51. R.B.N. Baig, M.N. Nadagouda and R.S. Varma, *Green Chem.*, **16**, 2122 (2014).
52. N.A. Afagh and A.K. Yudin, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, **49**, 262 (2010).
53. J. Mahatthananchai, A.M. Dumas and J.W. Bode, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, **51**, 10954 (2012).
54. R. Balicki and L. Kaczmarek, *Synth. Commun.*, **23**, 3149 (1993).
55. S. Cacchi, D. Misiti and F. La Torre, *Synthesis*, 243 (1980).
56. A. Solhy, A. Smahi, H. El Badaoui, B. Elaabar, A. Amoukal, A. Tikad, S. Sebti and D.J. Macquarrie, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, **44**, 4031 (2003).
57. N.V. Kaminskaia and N.M. Kostic, *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.*, 3677 (1996).
58. G.W. Kabalka, S.M. Deshpande, P.P. Wadgaonkar and N. Chatla, *Synth. Commun.*, **20**, 1445 (1990).
59. A. Sharifi, F. Mohsenzadeh, M.M. Mojtahedi, M.R. Saidi and S. Balalaie, *Synth. Commun.*, **31**, 431 (2001).
60. F. Fagalde, N.L. de Katz and N. Katz, *J. Coord. Chem.*, **55**, 587 (2002).
61. K. Manjula and M. Afzal Pasha, *Synth. Commun.*, **37**, 1545 (2007).
62. C.G. Rao, *Synth. Commun.*, **12**, 177 (1982).
63. C. Mukherjee, D.M. Zhu, E.R. Biehl, R.R. Parmar and L. Hua, *Tetrahedron*, **62**, 6150 (2006).
64. S. Sebti, A. Rhihil, A. Saber and N. Hanafi, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, **37**, 6555 (1996).
65. C. Sandeep, B. Padmashali and R.S. Kulkarni, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, **54**, 6411 (2013).
66. K.N. Venugopala and B.S. Jayashree, *Indian J. Pharm. Sci.*, **70**, 88 (2008).
67. K.N. Venugopala, R. Govender, M.A. Khedr, R. Venugopala, B.E. Aldhubiab, S. Harsha and B. Odhav, *Drug Des. Devel. Ther.*, **9**, 911 (2015).
68. K.N. Venugopala, M. Krishnappa, S.K. Nayak, B.K. Subrahmanya, J.P. Vaderapura, R.K. Chalannavar, R.M. Gleiser and B. Odhav, *Eur. J. Med. Chem.*, **65**, 295 (2013).
69. K.N. Venugopala, S.K. Nayak, R.M. Gleiser, M.E. Sanchez-Borzone, D.A. Garcia and B. Odhav, *Chem. Biol. Drug Des.*, **88**, 88 (2016).